

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR -	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,658	02/22/2002	Thomas L. Stachura	10559-773001/P13943	10559-773001/P13943 2123	
20985	7590 12/23/2004		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC 12390 EL CAMINO REAL			PATEL, ANAND B		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2116	-	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		7			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/081,658	STACHURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anand Patel	2116			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed vs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	,				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	May 2002.				
· _ ·	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
·	Ex parte Quayre, 1935 C.D. 11, 4.	J3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	awn from consideration. e rejected. are objected to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square objecte e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6-7, 12, 22, 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No 5842027 to Oprescu et al (Oprescu) in view of US Patent No 6601174 to Cromer et al (Cromer).

As per claim 1, Oprescu discloses an apparatus comprising:

- A device having a high power state and a low power state (column 3, lines 3-15); and
- A power management system (14) configured to transition the device to the low power state (115) when a signal is detected on the bus (power usage request).

Oprescu fails to disclose a physical layer interface as a part of the system. Cromer teaches:

• An electrically powered physical layer interface (234) to interface between a bus and a network (230).

The physical layer improves system performance by utilizing the highest performance connectivity technology (column 5, lines 32-37). It would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Oprescu and Cromer.

Motivation to combine arises from the improvement in performance gained through the use of a physical layer interface as outlined in Cromer.

• As per claim 2, Cromer discloses an apparatus wherein the bus is a PCI bus (208).

- As per claim 6, Oprescu discloses an apparatus wherein the low power state is when the device is powered off (115; the revocation of the power usage grant is analogous to shutting down the device).
- As per claim 7, Oprescu discloses an apparatus wherein the low power state is when the device draws no more than a predetermined amount of current (115; when the interface is switched off, it is not drawing any current).
- As per claim 12, Cromer discloses a system comprising:
 - A power supply (240);
 - A bus electrically connected to the power supply (208);
 - A central processing unit in communication with the bus (200)

Cromer also discloses a system wherein the physical layer interface is a device connecting the bus to the network (230). Cromer fails to disclose the communications device. Oprescu discloses a system comprising:

- A communications device in communication with the bus (14), the communications device comprising:
 - An electrically powered device having a high power state and a low power state (column 3, lines 3-15);
 - A power management system (14) configured to transition the device to the low power state (115) when a signal is detected on the bus (power usage request).

The ability to combine the device and the power management system comes from Cromer's use of the general-purpose processor, which can be used to carry out the functions of the

Art Unit: 2116

power manager and signal transmitter of Oprescu. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the device and the power management system. The motivation to combine is the space and power savings gained through the combination of these two elements.

- As per claim 22, Oprescu discloses a method comprising:
 - Monitoring a bus by a device (14) with a device having a high power state and at least one low power state (column 3, lines 3-15) within a networked computer system having an operating system (10); and
 - Changing the power state of a device to a low power state (115) when a signal is detected on the bus (power usage request).

Oprescu fails to disclose that the device is a physical layer interface. Cromer discloses a method wherein the device is a physical layer interface. As discussed above in reference to claim 1, it would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Oprescu to include the physical layer interface in view of the teaching of Cromer.

• As per claim 30, Cromer and Oprescu, as described above, discloses an apparatus comprising a signal assertion causing a physical layer interface to switch to a low power state. Thus, Cromer and Oprescu also teach a computer program product residing on a computer readable medium for powering down a physical layer interface as a result of a signal asserted on the bus. The examiner takes Official Notice that storing a computer program product on a computer readable medium, such as a disk drive or a diskette, is a well known and generally accepted method of backing up a computer program product.

Art Unit: 2116

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oprescu and Cromer, as applied above, and in view of US Patent No 6449677 to Olarig et al (Olarig).

Page 5

- As per claim 3, Cromer discloses an apparatus wherein the bus is a PCI bus (208).

 Cromer fails to disclose a PCI-X bus being an art-identified equivalent of a PCI bus. Olarig discloses PCI and PCI-X buses as equivalent types of input/output buses (column 8, lines 7-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the PCI-X bus for a PCI bus as these devices are well known and generally accepted art equivalents.
- 4. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oprescu and Cromer, as applied above, and in view of US Patent No 6675356 to Adler et al (Adler).
 - As per claim 20, Cromer discloses the system wherein the communication device is a local area network controller (230), but does not specify wireless LAN capability. Adler teaches a general network that may be a wireless LAN (column 6, lines 58-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute a wireless LAN device for a wired LAN device as these devices are well known and generally accepted art equivalents.
- 5. Claims 23, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oprescu and Cromer, as applied above, and in view of US Patent No 6820171 to Weber et al (Weber).
 - As per claim 23, Cromer discloses the system wherein the communication device is a local area network controller (230), but does not specify a Gigabit Ethernet device. Weber teaches a local area network that may be a Gigabit Ethernet (column 6, line 66 column 7, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention

to substitute a Gigabit Ethernet device for a LAN device, as these devices are well known and generally accepted art equivalents.

• As per claim 31, Cromer discloses the computer program product wherein the communication device is a local area network controller (230), but does not specify a Gigabit Ethernet device. Weber teaches a local area network that may be a Gigabit Ethernet (column 6, line 66 – column 7, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute a Gigabit Ethernet device for a LAN device, as these devices are well known and generally accepted art equivalents.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4-5, 8-11, 13-19, 21, 24-29, 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US Patent No 6675303 to Lam et al discloses setting and protecting values in powermode enable registers.
 - US Patent No 6622250 to Castillo et al discloses shutting down power to a circuit based on a signal from a power supply, which receives a signal from a monitoring circuit on a network adaptor.

Art Unit: 2116

• US Patent No 6618814 to Gaur et al discloses powering down a physical layer interface if

a signal is not asserted on a bus.

• US Patent No 6622178 to Burke et al discloses powering up a system based on a signal

asserted on a bus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anand Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7211. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ABP

LYNNE H. BROWNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 7